Ion Formation Processes in Laser Ablation of Multicomponent Inorganic Particles Relevant to Single Particle Laser Analysis of Atmospheric Aerosols

Akihiro Yabushita,^{*1} Takashi Kinugawa,¹ Masahiro Narukawa,^{2,†} Kenshi Takahashi,³ Masahiro Kawasaki,^{1,††} and Yutaka Matsumi²

¹Department of Molecular Engineering, Kyoto University, Kyoto 615-8510

²Solar-Terrestrial Environment Laboratory, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601 ³Research Institute for Sustainable Humanosphere, Kyoto University, Gokasho, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011.

(Received February 1, 2011; CL-110089; E-mail: yabushita@moleng.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

Nanosecond laser ablation and subsequent ion formation processes of submicron aerosol particles containing multicomponent ammonium and sodium salts relevant to atmospheric aerosols were studied using a laser-ionization single-particle aerosol mass spectrometer. In the case of ammonium salts, chemical species with a low ionization energy are predominately observed in the positive-ion mass spectra, while those having a high electron affinity are predominately observed in the negative-ion mass spectra. Dissociative electron attachment processes take place. However, as far as sodium salts are concerned, the energetically preferred ions are not necessarily dominant, and the ion formation processes are suggested to be more complex than the ammonium salts.

Atmospheric aerosols are composed of many chemical species: water, inorganics, salts, insoluble materials (dust and crustal material), organics (soot and volatile organic compounds), and metals. Aerosols of different composition can have different chemical and physical properties. It is important to explore the chemical compositions of atmospheric aerosols for understanding the effects of aerosols on issues such as human health and the global radiation budget.

Mass spectrometry has become the most commonly used method for analyzing the chemical composition of individual aerosol particles. Some different designs for aerosol mass spectrometers have been introduced over the years,¹ and detailed reviews of single-particle mass spectrometry are available in the literature.²⁻⁴ We have recently developed a laser-ionization single-particle aerosol mass spectrometer (LISPA-MS) for realtime individual particle analysis, and it has been used in field measurements of Asian dust particles⁵ and urban aerosols⁶ and in laboratory studies of secondary organic aerosols.^{7,8} By comparing these data with the standard mass spectra of aerosol particles generated from each of (NH₄)₂SO₄, NH₄NO₃, Na₂SO₄, NaNO₃, NaF, and NaCl solutions,⁹ we discussed in detail chemical properties of ambient and laboratory-generated aerosols. However, in general it has been suggested that the ion signal distributions are not straightforwardly connected to the quantitative reconstruction of aerosol chemical compositions. The detection efficiencies of various chemical species in the particle phase depend on the nature of particles, for example chemical compositions and their mixing states.¹⁰⁻¹³ Measuring the standard mass spectra of particles containing various chemical species rather than a single chemical species, and unraveling complex ion-forming processes are both highly desirable to help understand the mass spectra of ambient and laboratory-generated aerosols. In this letter, we report laser ablation/ionization mass spectra of laboratory-generated submicron particles composed of two or three species among NH₄Cl, NH₄NO₃, (NH₄)₂SO₄, NaCl, NaNO₃, and Na₂SO₄.

Details of the LISPA-MS are described elswhere.^{7,8} Briefly, aerosol particles are focused into a narrow particle beam by an aerodynamic lens. After exiting the lens, the particle beam is transmitted into the ion source region. To detect the particles introduced into the ion source region, a continuous-wave laser beam, produced by a frequency-doubled Nd:YAG laser at 532 nm. crosses the particle beam. The scattered light from the individual particles at 532 nm is detected by a photomultiplier tube (PMT). The lower limit of the detected particle diameter is ca. 200 nm, which is roughly estimated from the intensity of the scattered light. The signals from the PMT are amplified, and those above a selectable threshold level trigger a pulsed laser beam. A pulsed KrF excimer laser at 248 nm is used as a light source for desorption/ionization of particles. The pulse energy of the 248 nm laser is ca. 2 mJ with 20 ns pulse duration, which provides a power density of ca. $1 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$ when the laser spot size is 1 mm. The resultant ions are measured by a time-offlight mass spectrometer with a microchannel plate (MCP) detector. The signals from the MCP are amplified linearly, digitized, and recorded as mass spectra. Either the positive- or negative-ion mass spectra are obtained by changing the voltage polarity of the time-of-flight mass spectrometer.

The chemical compounds, NH₄Cl, NH₄NO₃, (NH₄)₂SO₄, NaCl, NaNO₃, and Na₂SO₄ (>98% purity, Wako Pure Chemical Industries), were used without further purification. Each compound was dissolved in distilled water in equimolar concentrations. Total weight concentrations of each multicomponent solution were 1%. For generating aerosol particles, the solutions were atomized using a compressed air nebulizer ($3 L \min^{-1}$). The generated particles passed through a homebuilt diffusion drier, which was filled with silica gel. The resultant dried particles were directly introduced to the LISPA-MS. The mass spectra presented here were all averaged over 28 spectra to average fluctuations in individual particle signals due to variations in individual particle composition and/or ion formation efficiencies.

Figures 1a-1d show the negative-ion mass spectra for NH₄Cl-NH₄NO₃, NH₄Cl-(NH₄)₂SO₄, NH₄NO₃-(NH₄)₂SO₄, and $NH_4Cl-NH_4NO_3-(NH_4)_2SO_4$ solution particles. The spectral pattern of each single particle mass spectrum was almost the same as those for the individual solutions.

Averaged spectra are shown in Figure 1 to indicate the precise intensity distributions of mass peaks. For NH₄Cl- NH_4NO_3 , the dominant ions were NO_2^- and NO_3^- at m/z 46 and 62, respectively. Those ions were also dominant in the negative-



Figure 1. Averaged negative-ion mass spectra of (a) $NH_4Cl-NH_4NO_3$, (b) $NH_4Cl-(NH_4)_2SO_4$, (c) $NH_4NO_3-(NH_4)_2SO_4$, and (d) $NH_4Cl-NH_4NO_3-(NH_4)_2SO_4$ particles.

ion mass spectrum of NH₄NO₃ using the LISPA-MS.⁹ The negative-ion mass spectrum obtained from NH₄Cl–NH₄NO₃ was almost identical to that from NH₄NO₃. For NH₄Cl–(NH₄)₂SO₄, the dominant ion was HSO₄⁻ at m/z 97, which was also dominant in the negative-ion spectra of (NH₄)₂SO₄.⁹ The negative-ion mass spectrum obtained from NH₄Cl–(NH₄)₂SO₄ was almost identical to that from (NH₄)₂SO₄. Figure 1c shows the negative-ion mass spectrum from NH₄NO₃–(NH₄)₂SO₄. The signal intensity of HSO₄⁻ (m/z 97) was much stronger than those of NO₂⁻ (m/z 46) and NO₃⁻ (m/z 62). The negative-ion mass spectrum from NH₄Cl–NH₄NO₃–(NH₄)₂SO₄ is shown in Figure 1d, which is almost identical with that from NH₄NO₃–(NH₄)₂SO₄. These results suggest that the coexistence of NH₄Cl in particles does not significantly affect the ion intensity distributions of (NH₄)₂SO₄ and (NH₄)₂SO₄–NH₄NO₃ systems.

The negative-ion mass spectra of NaNO₃, NaCl–NaNO₃, NaCl–Na₂SO₄, and NaCl–NaNO₃–Na₂SO₄ are also shown in Figures 2a–2d. The negative-ion spectra of the sodium salts (NaCl–NaNO₃, NaCl–Na₂SO₄, and NaCl–NaNO₃–Na₂SO₄) were different from those of ammonium salts shown in Figure 1. For NaCl–NaNO₃, the detected ions were not only NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ but also O⁻, Na⁻, Cl⁻, NaClO⁻, NaNO₃⁻, NaCl₂⁻, NaClNO₂⁻, NaN₂O₄⁻, NaClNO₃⁻, NaN₂O₅⁻, and NaN₂O₆⁻ at m/z 16, 23, 35, 74, 85, 93, 104, 115, 120, 131, and 147, respectively (Figure 2b). For the NaCl–Na₂SO₄, the ions were O⁻, Na⁻, Cl⁻, SO₂⁻, SO₃⁻, NaCl₂⁻, SO₄⁻ and NaSO₄⁻ at m/z 16, 23, 35, 64, 80, 93, 96, and 119, respectively (Figure 2c). The negative-ion mass spectrum from NaCl–NaNO₃–Na₂SO₄ is shown in Figure 2d. All the ions, which were detected in the



Figure 2. Averaged negative-ion mass spectra of (a) NaNO₃, (b) NaCl–NaNO₃, (c) NaCl–Na₂SO₄, and (d) NaCl–NaNO₃– Na₂SO₄ particles.

negative-ion mass spectra of NaCl–NaNO₃ and NaCl–Na₂SO₄, were observed for NaCl–NaNO₃–Na₂SO₄, and no additional ion species were observed.

Understanding the mechanisms for ion formation during ablation processes is an important issue in utilizing laser ablation mass spectrometry as an analytical tool. Thomson et al. have studied the threshold of laser fluence for laser-induced ion formation from aerosols using the 248, 193, and 157 nm lasers.¹⁰ They found that the threshold of laser fluence for negative ion formation was generally greater than that for positive ion formation. The ejection of free electrons from the particle could result in a predominance of positive ions. This is in accord with the fact that a strong free electron peak is observed in the negative-ion spectra, which appears around m/z 0 in Figures 1 and 2. For ion formation mechanisms in laser ablation of particles, a two-stage process was proposed by Reinard et al.¹³ At first, photoionization of laser-desorbed neutrals give cations and free electrons, and then collisions in the plume cause electron capture and competitive charge transfer. Reilly et al.¹¹ have studied charge-transfer-induced matrix effects in the laser ablation for individual particles. Their results for multicomponent particles suggest that species with low ionization potential are easily detected in most matrices, while species with high ionization potential may only be detected in matrices containing no substances with lower ionization potential. In fact, when the particle ablates in a manner giving a dense plume with many collisions, the energetically preferred positive ions with low ionization energy and negative ions with high electron affinity are dominant.13

According to the two-stage ion formation mechanism,13 NH₄Cl-NH₄NO₃ particles are more likely to disintegrate into stable molecules such as NH_3 , HNO_3 , HCl, and NO_r . Then they undergo ionization and competitive charge transfer. To examine the proposed mechanism, we measured the positive-ion spectrum for NH₄NO₃ particles, which gave a strong ion peak of NO⁺ at m/z 30. NO has the lowest ionization energy of 9.2 eV among the neutral species possibly produced from NH₄NO₃.¹⁴ When collision and clustering in the plume are extensive, NO⁺ should be the favored product. The positive-ion spectrum of (NH₄)₂SO₄ particles was also measured, which showed strong ion peaks of S⁺ and SO⁺ at m/z 32 and 48, respectively. S and SO have ionization energies as low as 10.2 and 10.3 eV.¹⁴ It is likely that in the first stage during the ablation, photoionization of laser-desorbed neutrals (HNO₃, H₂SO₄, NH₄, NO_x, H, etc.) gives energetically preferred cations having lower ionization energies and free electrons. The electrons are captured by other atoms/molecules/clusters in the plume, and competitive charge transfer occurs to give negative ions. In our experiments, HSO₄⁻ (m/z 97) was the dominant ion in the negative-ion mass spectra of particles containing (NH₄)₂SO₄ as shown in Figures 1b-1d. Among the molecules detected, HSO₄ has the highest electron affinity of 4.75 eV.¹⁴ On the other hand, NO₂⁻ (m/z 46) was the dominant ion in the mass spectrum of NH₄Cl-NH₄NO₃ particles as shown in Figure 1a even though the electron affinities of NO₂ and NO₃ are 2.27 and 4.00 eV, respectively. Fehsenfeld et al.¹⁵ have studied dissociative attachment reactions of electrons with HNO₃. They found the product ion is NO₂⁻, because NO₃⁻ production is endothermic by ca. 11 kcal mol^{-1} , and so it cannot occur at a significant rate. Another important finding in our study is that Cl⁻ (m/z 35 and 37) was not observed. Cl⁻ production from dissociative attachment reactions of electrons with HCl is endothermic by ca. 18.8 kcal mol⁻¹.¹⁶ Actually, no measurable attachment occurs for HCl, as expected from considerations of the energy for these reactions.17

Unlike ammonium salts, sodium salts seem difficult to disintegrate into stable molecules when they are laser-ablated. Signal intensities from sodium salts were about one order of magnitude weaker than those from ammonium salts. In fact, a process in which sodium salts directly give free electrons was proposed.¹³ For particles containing NaNO₃, the dominant negative ion is O^{-} (m/z 16) as shown in Figures 2a, 2b, and 2d. We performed covariance mapping¹³ for NaNO₃ and Na_2SO_4 , which shows moderate positive correlations (0.2 < R < 0.7) of several ion pairs to O⁻ (O⁻/NO₂⁻, O⁻/NO₃⁻, O⁻/ SO⁻, O⁻/SO₂⁻, O⁻/SO₃⁻, and O⁻/SO₄⁻). This indicates that the formation of O⁻ could occur through the fragmentation of such ions as NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, SO⁻, SO₂⁻, SO₃⁻, and SO₄⁻. The electron affinities of O, NO, NO₂, and NO₃ are 1.46, 0.02, 2.27, and 4.00 eV, respectively.¹⁴ O does not have the highest electron affinity among them. The fact that the signal intensities of NO_2^- and NO_3^- are weaker than that of O^- suggests that they were consumed to produce NaNO3⁻, NaCINO2⁻, NaN2O4⁻, NaClNO3⁻, NaN2O5⁻, and NaN2O6⁻ probably from chemical ionization processes through subsequent collisions. Here, chemical ionization processes mean the addition, transfer, and abstraction of charged species between the reactants as well as charge-transfer processes. O- might be consumed to produce NaClO⁻ and NaNO₄⁻ etc., but these signal intensities were very low. Electron affinities of S, SO, SO₂, SO₃, and SO₄ are 2.08, 1.12, 1.11, 1.90, and 5.10 eV, respectively.¹⁴ SO₄ has the highest electron affinity among them and higher than NO₂ and NO₃. However, the signal intensity of SO₄⁻ is comparable with NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻, as shown in Figure 2d. For particles of the sodium salts, the ion formation processes seemed to be more complex than those of the ammonium salts.

In conclusion, we measured negative-ion mass spectra in laser ablation of multicomponent inorganic particles relevant to atmospheric aerosols. The obtained mass spectra could, in general, be interpreted qualitatively by the two-stage ion formation mechanism. The energetically preferred positive (low ionization energy) and negative (high electron affinity) ions were dominantly observed. However, we found the energetically preferred ions were not necessarily dominant. To understand the ion formation mechanisms, further studies in which chemical ionization processes and dissociative electron attachment are taken into consideration are needed.

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) and a Grant-in-Aid on Innovative Areas Impacts of Aerosols in East Asia on Plants and Human Health.

References

- Present address: Heat and Fluid Dynamics Department, Research Laboratory, IHI Corporation, Yokohama 235-8501
- †† Present address: Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto 603-8047
- M. R. Canagaratna, J. T. Jayne, J. L. Jimenez, J. D. Allan, M. R. Alfarra, Q. Zhang, T. B. Onasch, F. Drewnick, H. Coe, A. Middlebrook, A. Delia, L. R. Williams, A. M. Trimborn, M. J. Northway, P. F. DeCarlo, C. E. Kolb, P. Davidovits, D. R. Worsnop, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* 2007, *26*, 185.
- 2 D. M. Murphy, Mass Spectrom. Rev. 2007, 26, 150.
- 3 C. A. Noble, K. A. Prather, Mass Spectrom. Rev. 2000, 19, 248.
- 4 D. T. Suess, K. A. Prather, Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 3007.
- 5 J. Matsumoto, K. Takahashi, Y. Matsumi, A. Yabushita, A. Shimizu, I. Matsui, N. Sugimoto, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2006, 33, L07816.
- 6 J.-H. Xing, K. Takahashi, A. Yabushita, T. Kinugawa, T. Nakayama, Y. Matsumi, K. Tonokura, A. Takami, T. Imamura, K. Sato, M. Kawasaki, T. Hikida, A. Shimono, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 2011, 45, 315.
- 7 M. Narukawa, Y. Matsumi, J. Matsumoto, K. Takahashi, A. Yabushita, K. Sato, T. Imamura, *Anal. Sci.* 2007, 23, 507.
- 8 M. Narukawa, Y. Matsumi, J. Matsumoto, K. Takahashi, A. Yabushita, K. Sato, T. Imamura, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 2008, *81*, 120.
- 9 M. Narukawa, Y. Matsumi, K. Takahashi, A. Yabushita, *Chem. Lett.* 2007, *36*, 904.
- 10 D. S. Thomson, A. M. Middlebrook, D. M. Murphy, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *26*, 544.
- 11 P. T. A. Reilly, A. C. Lazar, R. A. Gieray, W. B. Whitten, J. M. Ramsey, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 2000, 33, 135.
- 12 L. Zhou, A. Rai, M. Zachariah, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 2006, 258, 104.
- 13 M. S. Reinard, M. V. Johnston, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2008, 19, 389.
- 14 http://webbook.nist.gov.
- 15 F. C. Fehsenfeld, C. J. Howard, A. L. Schmeltekopf, J. Chem. Phys. 1975, 63, 2835.
- 16 T. M. Miller, Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 66th ed., Chemical Rubber, Florida, 1985, p. E62.
- 17 N. G. Adams, D. Smith, A. A. Viggiano, J. F. Paulson, M. J. Henchman, J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 84, 6728.